UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,895	07/03/2003	Toshiro Hiraoka	239735US2RD	3695
22850 7590 04/26/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		H				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/611,895	HIRAOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	. 3726				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r 	E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI- ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a communication. In statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON reply will, by statute, cause the application to become Al ths after the mailing date of this communication, even if	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 15 February 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya					
	ding the correction is required if the drawing					
11) The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None o		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been received in A	Application No				
·	es of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office a	ction for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(\$)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/15/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hiraoka et al (US 6,465,742; hereinafter referred to H1) in view of Hiraoka et al (US 2003/0022102 A1; hereinafter referred to H2).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3726

under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

H1 disclsoes in Figs. 4A-4B an electrode of an electric device (bottom 6a); a porous member (6a, 6b and 9 above bottom 6a); col. 10, lines 15-62 discloses the photosensitive layer and laser beam; col. 21, lines 9-53 discloses forming the wiring structure; col. 17, line 66 discloses latent image; bonding them using pressure sensitive adhesive (col. 21, lines 54-67) and curing (col. 8, lines 59-62); claim 4 in col. 18, lines 50-61 and claim 6 is in col. 8, lines 59-62. H1 fails to disclose producing or eliminating an ion exchange group.

H2 discloses producing or eliminating an ion exchange group (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify H1 by producing or eliminating an ion exchange group, as taught by H2, for the purpose of producing fine wiring pattern.

Response to Arguments.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3726

corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional).

Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,895

Art Unit: 3726

Page 5

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC April 23, 2007